

From Ian R Jarvis of Wolves 5G Action Group

### Action Template

On the next page is a draft letter from me that I am sending to my Council, MP and many others. I invite you to send the same letter from yourself to your Council & MP (at least).

Please do not make any edits to the main text. It is important to **KEEP THE FOCUS ON THOSE CRUCIAL ARGUMENTS AND NOTHING ELSE**. It has to be kept precise so that it makes an impact throughout the country with the same arguments.

**First** of all make a copy so you can send the original including these directions to a host of your own contacts.

#### Then send the letter yourself;

- Put your own personal contact details at the head of the page, with your address on the right,
- Add the receiver's address details on the left,
- Add the date on the right
- Add a salutation of your choice, "Dear ???"
- Put your name at the end - signature optional but good
- delete this page
- Email the letter as an attachment and if you can, also print and post it. you can also send to others, eg copy recipients, via email
- Keep a record of all letters and replies

#### Suggestions for sending:

- your Ward Councillors (can be found on your Council website)
- Council Leader and Cabinet Cllr members
- Council CEO (if there is one)
- Elected Mayor if you have one (most don't)
- Your MP (can be found here <https://tinyurl.com/2p8t7884> )
- Local newspapers and journalists
- your political party (if you belong)
- Council legal department                      etc, etc

I will be adding this template to our website at

<https://standupwolverhampton.com/emf-radiation-5g/>

and adding follow-up letters which you can use to check what action has been taken. I suggest a minimum of 14 days and a maximum of 28 days before sending a follow-up when there is no answer.

Your follow-up actions will have to be written by yourself depending on the replies you get from different people. There is more information on our website but if you are stuck email the Mentors' address from the website. My hope is that it will stimulate Councils to do their own due diligence research and take appropriate action against the companies

Keep it polite and stick to facts; ask open questions. **If you are unsure don't send the letter.** Pass it on to someone with more knowledge.

**END OF INSTRUCTION**

## Please send the below letter to your Council

After some research I am aware that there may be serious errors, irregularities or even possible fraudulent material in some telecoms planning applications across the UK. These issues may go back several years. This letter is an honourable sharing of information and knowledge in the public interest.

### General Permitted Development Rights

To apply for a telecoms application to be determined under Prior Approval (GPDO), a Mobile Network Operator (MNO) must be listed on the Ofcom "*Register of persons with powers under the Electronic Communications Code*".

After a previous letter was sent to several Councils, "Cignal Infrastructure UK Limited" appeared for the first time on the Ofcom "*Register of persons with powers under the Electronic Communications Code*" updated on **4 October 2023**. This is a clear indication that they know they were in the wrong and we are right.

The effect of this must be to invalidate all such previous mast applications, and thus approvals, made in that name as Applicant prior to that date.

It is also the case that "Cignal Infrastructure UK Limited" can now legitimately apply for planning permission under GPDO (Prior Approval). **It does not make all previous Applications valid.**

There may be other companies in this exact same position and all decided applications need checking. Certainly applications have been made recently citing the Applicant as "Three UK Ltd"; a company that was dissolved in 2015.

### Declaration of ICNIRP Compliance

In addition to that requirement, all operating telecoms masts must comply with emissions guidelines from the International Commission on Non-Ionizing (sic) Radiation Protection (ICNIRP). For that, a MNO must make a self-certificated declaration of compliance (see NPPF 10/117).

The importance of the ICNIRP declaration is as a public safety measure and due diligence by the LPA should ensure that each one is valid.

**The declarations (ICNIRP self-certificate) included with many applications are made by companies that do not exist, for example:**

- "Three UK limited" was dissolved compulsorily by Companies House in 2015.
- "H3G Limited" was voluntarily dissolved in 2014.

Which Council officers simply accept without challenge.

The case of Yasmin Skelt vs Secretary of State (2003), was a contested case looking to be a victory for the claimant when the Secretary of State (John Prescott) intervened, conceded the case and **made it clear that it is not acceptable for local planners just to accept the ICNIRP certificate.**

Thus far my analysis is only partial, but I am notifying you now as it clearly needs serious investigation, possibly as a combined exercise involving all Councils nationwide. You are invited to continue the research and examination.

**Clearly masts must have a valid ICNIRP certificate and can be operated only by Ofcom registered companies throughout their life.**

**Steps must be taken immediately by LPAs to identify all related issues and questions, identify non-compliant masts, deactivate and remove them.**